



Overseas aid: A FARCE AND A RACKET

Time to divert money to the needy at home

NOT SO VERY LONG AGO, when the first public outcry occurred over the Ethiopia famine issue, and when Mr. Bob Geldof got himself in the news with his highly publicised relief campaign, we commented that the effort to help Ethiopia was a total waste of time and of much needed resources which could have been used to relieve hardship in Britain. We said that the attempt to feed the hungry in Ethiopia would only interfere with nature's scheme to keep the population down in that country and that, if the campaign went ahead as planned, all that would happen would be that in a short time there would be yet more hungry mouths to feed in that country. We also commented that the relief programme for Ethiopia was helping to keep in power a corrupt marxist government in that country that is no friend of Britain.

Now these truths are coming to light and our analysis of the situation is being vindicated. Mr. Geldof is reported to be 'angry' that his aid programme has not had the effect intended and that much of the aid is simply going to help the government of Ethiopia continue its

campaign of murder and repression against those people in the country who do not support it. In addition, famine in Ethiopia is as bad as ever, and once again our 'bleeding heart' television commentators are showing us highly

GELDOF
He is helping communism in Africa



emotionalised pictures of starving Ethiopians — always of course with the added plea that we must dig yet further into our pockets to help them.

Let there be no mistake: we take no more pleasure in the sight of these hungry faces and bodies than the rest of

Contd. on page 3

AS THE CALL GOES OUT TO PREVENT ANOTHER FAMINE...



THE PROFITEERS OF AID
These pictures and caption appeared with a recent story in The Daily Mail

SOLDIERS of ill fortune: While his people continue to die of hunger, Marxist President Mengistu of Ethiopia, left, has squandered money on swimming pools, political celebrations... and new uniforms for his army.

WHERE AID IS TRULY NEEDED

While the government, and numerous 'charitable' organisations, are lavishing buckets of aid on the so-called 'Third World', there are many places in Britain where our own people are suffering great hardship because of lack of adequate funds.

advanced learning. Financial shortage is not the only cause of this, but it is an important one and cannot be ignored.

In the meantime, our armed services are constantly being weakened by defence cuts, explained by the government as being necessary in the interest of 'financial economies'.

We are constantly being reminded of the squalor of our inner cities, and those who travel through such places do not even need such reminders. All over the country we have rotting slums, unfit for animals to live in and a disgrace for a country that is supposed to be part of the 'civilised' world. Yet these decayed areas remain, largely (though not entirely) because there is a shortage of money to renew them.

Then, when we come to the problem of unemployment, this could be greatly reduced by means of a massive government investment programme, but again we are told that the money is not available.

In a hundred or more areas of life in Britain money is needed to improve conditions for people — the old, the young, the sick and the unemployed. We simply cannot afford any more charity towards people overseas, particularly when they show that they are incapable of using it to lift themselves out of their hunger and squalor. We need a new slogan in our



Rotting slums like this are widespread. Yet no governments have found the money to clear away this blight

Our hospitals are one example. Senior officials of the health services are now telling us that those services will soon come to a stop if more money is not found to keep our hospitals going and provide the specialised treatment that some patients need. In many cases the technology is available to conquer types of illness which years ago defeated the world of medicine, but the financial wherewithal is not there to enable that new technology to be paid for. People are dying unnecessarily as a result.

Many of our old folk are still dying through such things as hypothermia in winter because they do not have the means to ensure that they are kept properly heated. Pensions should be increased but the government always tells us that the financial means are not available.

At the other end of the scale, great numbers of our young folk are being left to rot and drift into crime and drugs because of lack of adequate facilities of education and of leisure where they may spend the hours of their spare time usefully.

Indeed, where education is concerned, Britain is in danger soon of becoming a 'third world' country herself, so rapid has been the deterioration in her schools and centres of



Youth on the scrapheap. Money is going overseas which is needed to pay for better schools and leisure centres

aid programme. The slogan should be: "HELP BRITISH PEOPLE FIRST!" Let that be not only our slogan but our policy. Let us use our resources to build a more prosperous Britain!

**WANTED:
MORAL COURAGE**

IF THERE is one really basic reason why Britain is going down the pan fast, it is that there are so few individuals with the moral courage to tell the unvarnished truth about the decline and fall of our country and the forces contriving it. If there are few who will do this openly, honestly and in their own names, there are still fewer who will then stand uncompromisingly by the truth when the persecuting rat-packs of those who have a vested interest in our ruin close in to suppress and silence them.

How often in recent times have we seen some figure in a position of public responsibility take a controversial stand in defence of patriotic common sense, moral decency and the survival and well-being of our own folk, only to back down and run away when the persecutors turned the heat on him for proclaiming the self-evident truth?

The end result of such failure of nerve is to give those persecutors a victory. There is not only the self-humiliation of the would-be champion of patriotic decency implicit in such a surrender but also the betrayal of those, sometimes numbering millions of our fellow-countrymen and -women, who have placed their trust in him.

One obvious example that comes to mind is that of Bradford headmaster Ray Honeyford. Mr. Honeyford had the heat turned on him after publicly stating that British children suffered in schools which were dominated, numerically and culturally, by Asians.

It is true of course that Mr. Honeyford took a lot of very heavy stick. He faced well-organised and orchestrated pressure from violent leftist and multi-racist mobs outside his school, whilst Britain's Tory government did absolutely nothing on his behalf. But at the end of the day, however difficult his position might have become, it still remains the case that, by resigning, he climbed down, thereby inflicting a defeat for the cause of the British people.

Significantly, Mr. Honeyford always denied that he was a 'racist', and always argued as one of his reasons for opposing Asian cultural and linguistic separatism in schools that this would be an obstacle to integrating the immigrants into British society. This did not save him from being charged with 'racism' when he ventured a few words on behalf of white children in racially-mixed schools.

In fact, Britain today is a country, just as Bradford is a city, ruled by tyrants determined upon massed third-world immigration which must of itself lead to the disappearance of Britain as a nation in any recognisable form. To this end, the multi-racists are determined to use any means of enforcement, however vicious. What happened to Mr. Honeyford was a part of this process.

In view of this, the cause of our own people can only be fought at all on the basis of racial principles.

If it means anything, 'Racism' means loving our own kindred and standing up for their survival. It also means preserving our native soil exclusively for these kindred. 'Racism' simply means patriotism.

Mr. Honeyford seemed, either to have failed to grasp these principles, or to have been

Contd. on back page

Pakistan cricket rumpus

GOVERNMENT BEHIND TEST MATCH CLIMB-DOWN

THERE WAS MUCH MORE to the test match row in Faisalabad last month between England cricket captain Mike Gatting and Pakistani umpire Shakoor Rana than just the result of a game of cricket.

Gatting, it will be remembered, was forced to apologise to Rana by the English Test and Country Cricket Board (TCCB) after he had retorted heatedly to an accusation of cheating by the umpire on the field of play during the course of a match. The Board forced this apology out of Gatting without in any way properly taking into account the facts of the case or the extreme provocation to which the England captain had been subjected by the Pakistani umpire. Nor was the slightest effort made, on behalf of Gatting, who had the backing of his entire team, to square the matter by getting an equivalent admission from Rana that he too had been in the wrong, as was obviously the case.

Rana to make any kind of apology, then, not only should there have been none from England, but the test series should have been called off and the English team brought home. Instead, Pakistani umpire Rana was allowed to get away scot-free with his gross misconduct, and a one-sided apology was delivered, upon orders from above, by Gatting.

COWARDLY

In the cowardly and wimpish spirit typical of British establishment-type figures of today, with their 'liberal' attitudes of turning the other cheek in the face of any outrage against the national honour, the English cricket administrators tried to justify forcing the apology from Gatting by saying that it was "in the best interests of cricket," and that Gatting and his team-mates should "calm down" and "be rational." This seemed to mean that they should be content to climb down



Humiliated heroes

SHATTERED skipper Mike Gatting reluctantly leads out the angry England team after his controversial apology finally allowed play to re-start in the Second Test at Faisalabad.

ORDERED TO GROVEL
The caption says it all

DISPUTE OVER INTERPRETATION OF RULES

The row began when Gatting moved one of his fieldsmen into a position near the batsman which Rana deemed unfair. In fact, in the English game this position is regarded as being perfectly normal and in order, but it appears that Rana felt that in Pakistan the rules should be interpreted differently.

Rana was entitled to his opinion; but what he was not entitled to do was use abusive and insulting language towards Gatting in telling him to have the fieldsmen removed, calling him a "cheat". Merely a polite request for removal would have sufficed.

Gatting very understandably reacted angrily, and there was a heated exchange of words between the two men which was seen on millions of TV screens throughout the world.

Following this incident, an apology to the umpire from Gatting may well have been called for, but so also was an apology from Rana to Gatting. England's cricket administrators should have seen it as their duty to stand four-square behind their own captain and team over such a matter of principle. If Pakistan refused to order

and eat humble pie, rather than stand by any principles.

POLITICAL INTERVENTION

But, most disgracefully of all, it appears that the government itself was ultimately behind the pressure put on Gatting to grovel. It was Tory leader of the Commons John Wakeham who persuaded the TCCB to act as it did, while the Foreign Office was reported to have stuck its oar in via the British Embassy in Pakistan. The appeasement which comes so naturally to Britain's ruling politicians in their dealings with 'ethnic minorities' in this country is, it seems, just as much second nature abroad in dealing with those ethnic minorities' homelands.

In fact, it seems that the conduct of the Pakistani umpire in Faisalabad was the outcome of something of a conspiracy. One of Pakistan's sports writers in a Lahore newspaper referred to the collusion between the country's senior cricket administrators, Pakistan team captain Javed Miandad and the local umpires with a view to beating England by any means — fair or foul. Indeed Pakistan's manager is alleged to have claimed in the Summer of 1986, when his team were on tour in England, that "cheating is part of the game"! In response to repeated Pakistani cheating on the field at that time, Mike Gatting



CELEBRATING ANOTHER BRITISH CAPITULATION
Pakistani cricket umpire Shakoor Rana (centre) and two friends enjoy a victory drink after England's cricket overlords order their captain to make an abject apology to him without requiring any apology from him in return

said: "We have our house in order, but they do not." Shakoor Rana, the umpire chosen by the Pakistani authorities for the Faisalabad test, was already notorious for his dubious decisions on the field.

NO 'GAME'

What should be clear from this affair is that, whatever our own country's traditions of sportsmanship and fair play, other nations and peoples, Pakistan among them, do not regard international sporting contests as 'just a game'. They look upon them almost as another form of international conflict in which the aim is to win at all costs, to humiliate an opponent and to rub his nose in the dirt — an end that justifies any means.

From such exhibitions as the test match in Pakistan, where the British establishment betrayed its own sportsmen in its fear of offending Pakistani opinion and in its spineless efforts of avoid confrontation over any matter of principle, the masses of Asia will not be slow to draw their conclusions.

They will regard the whole affair as a clear signal that, in matters much more far-reaching than cricket, the people who currently run Britain will always give way to them, and that in any confrontation with Britain they will always be allowed to get their way.

This piece of spinelessness towards Pakistan follows the now virtually complete surrender of England's cricketing authorities, also under political pressures from our government, to the demands of Afro-Asian countries that England cut its cricketing links entirely with White South Africa.

Come to think of it, when we used to play South Africa at cricket, not only were there some magnificent contests but matches were almost always conducted in the most sporting spirit — not entirely surprisingly when we consider that most of the South African cricketers playing against us were men of British descent, our own race whom we subsequently snubbed in order to curry favour with the noisy and hateful 'Third World'.

HIGH WYCOMBE RACE RIOT

Why did the police dilly-dally?

AN EXTREMELY NASTY RACE RIOT occurred in High Wycombe (Bucks) on the evening of New Year's Day, which was prominently (although far from accurately) reported in a number of newspapers. This riot was of course just one of many taking place all the time but on this occasion it was impossible to keep it out of the news.

Our enquiries have enabled us to establish clearly what happened. Some Whites were drinking at the Anchor pub in the town when one of them was mugged by a black man. The victim's son and some friends happened to be nearby and they pursued the mugger out of the pub intending to deal with him. When they got outside, however, they found a large mob of Blacks present, which quickly built up in size as friends of the mugger poured out of a nearby 'multi-racial' club. The young Whites, seeing themselves hopelessly outnumbered, withdrew back into the Anchor, whereupon the Blacks laid siege to the place, hurling missiles, including molotov cocktails, through the windows and on two occasions firing a sawn-off shotgun at the Whites.

The landlord of the Anchor, Mr. David Britnell, immediately phoned the police while his customers went about barricading themselves in. By this time a mob of over 100 had joined in the attack on the pub.

Some police were on the scene very quickly but they just stood by and did nothing, waiting 40 minutes before taking action. Then they moved in and dispersed the mob, making a number of arrests.

There has been widespread anger in the town over the slowness of the police response. In reply, a spokesman for the police said that they did not at first have sufficient men to cope with the trouble and were waiting for reinforcements to come in from other divisions. He also admitted, however: "With attempts to police in a sensitive manner, and being aware of what was going on, we were not prepared to go into the situation which we could not perhaps handle."

The same spokesman revealed yet more when he admitted that the police were also waiting for a West Indian community relations officer to arrive who they hoped would calm the mob down.

This calls for comment. If the problem had been solely that of shortage of men, the decision of the police to wait for reinforcements might have been justified. Even here, a 40-minute delay seems strange. High Wycombe is not in a remote part of the country; it is close to other towns like Henley, Maidenhead and Beaconsfield; furthermore, it is situated right next to the M40 motorway along which it can easily and quickly be reached from Outer West London, where ample police reserves would have been available. The idea that it should take so long for these reserves to arrive is preposterous.

Quite clearly, the claim of insufficient manpower is merely an excuse. The police spokesman was getting much nearer the truth when he admitted that a factor in the delay was also the 'sensitive manner' of the operation (i.e. the involved Blacks) and the fact that the police were awaiting the arrival of the said West Indian community relations officer.

The bald truth is that, once again, the lives and safety of law-abiding white people were put at grave risk because senior police officers were 'playing politics' in their response to the trouble, being afraid to move their men in with the required speed and force for fear of offending 'racial minority' lobbies.

In fact, even with the police in the small numbers present at the beginning of the riot it should have been possible to disperse the mob had they been adequately equipped. In all areas where there are numerous race minority populations and where there is a record of previous racial trouble (and High Wycombe is one of these) proper riot equipment, including tear gas and firearms, should be readily available and within reach in minutes. Just a small number of officers issued with these imple-

Contd. on back page

THE REAGAN-GORBACHEV SUMMIT, which dominated the headlines in early December, was universally acclaimed in the mass media as some sort of 'triumph' for world peace — which is invariably said to be at stake whenever any dealings take place between the United States and the Soviet Union. In fact such talk is sheer humbug, for the picture of the US and Soviet power-blocs being engaged in a conflict that could lead to world war is utterly false.

According to this picture, the Soviets are supposed for the past 40 or so years to have entertained the ambition of conquering Western Europe, and it is only the so-called 'Western Alliance' and the leadership of that alliance by the US that has prevented them from doing so. Those who paint this scenario of the world try to tell us that, not only might the US and Soviets go to war with each other, but they would furthermore be prepared to resort in such a war to nuclear weapons, thereby bringing down the whole of civilisation!

But the truth is that this picture of the world scene belongs to fantasy. It is about as real as Santa Claus or Jack in the Beanstalk!

The whole rignarole of super-power 'summit' meetings and 'nuclear arms agreements' between the US and Soviet leaders is a mere charade. The alleged east-west confrontation is mere shadow-boxing.

How America has helped the Soviets

To begin with, the United States has never acted towards the Soviet Union as one would expect were the two powers **REAL ENEMIES**. For the 70 years of its existence, the Soviet regime has been cosseted and coddled by the United States. Its

THE REAGAN-GORBACHEV SUMMIT

The reality behind the humbug

industry and technology have been built up with the help of America and other western nations. Whenever the shortcomings of Soviet agriculture have threatened a famine, the US and others in the West have stepped in to bale the Soviet bosses out of trouble with generous supplies of grain.

And America has also aided the Soviets militarily. During World War II the US hastened to the assistance of Russia when its survival was at stake, sending it masses of military equipment without which it would have lost the war. Furthermore, it was the **DELIBERATE POLICY** of the then US government, under Franklin D. Roosevelt, to hand over the whole of Eastern Europe to Soviet domination, this being the outcome of a series of earlier 'summit' meetings that culminated in the infamous Yalta Agreement of 1945, by which the Soviets' occupation of their present-day East European satellites was given sanction by western leaders.

Since then the US has done nothing effectively to undermine Soviet rule in this East European empire; when revolts broke out in Hungary in 1956 and Czechoslovakia in 1968 and Soviet tanks were used to crush these movements for freedom, the US government did no more than utter a few empty words; meanwhile the flow of technical and economic aid to Russia continued almost uninterrupted.

In the reordering of the world since 1945 the United States and the Soviet Union have been very much of one

accord: they both worked together to strip Britain and other European nations of their overseas empires, and since then they have been at one in their efforts to destroy white rule, first in Rhodesia and more latterly in South Africa.

Soviet attack on Western Europe a non-starter

As for the idea that, without American protection, Western Europe would be invaded and conquered by the Soviets, that again belongs to fantasy. Would the Soviets, who have their hands full already holding down Eastern Europe and Afghanistan, seriously want to take on the burden of occupying and policing West Germany, France, Italy, Spain, Scandinavia, the Low Countries, Britain and the rest?

And could the Soviets conquer Western Europe anyway, with or

without the American presence here? Taken together, the Western European nations have a total population well in excess of that of the Soviet Union, while technologically and industrially they are vastly superior. If in these circumstances the Western European nations could not easily withstand a Soviet attack, they must be so decadent as to be not worth saving! Though they have indeed slid a long way towards decadence since 1945, they are not quite at that stage yet!

No great issues at stake in 'Summit'

From this it will be seen that there were no great issues of war or peace at stake in the recent 'summit' conference in the US, nor have there been in any previous conferences of the same kind. These conferences have simply amounted to a big charade.

Although the alleged east-west

'conflict' is as phoney as it has always been, it does not mean that Britain, and other western nations, do not face real threats. In fact, never has our national existence been as gravely imperilled as it is today.

For example, Britain and the West are being systematically stripped of their key industrial and technological capability. This is being transferred to the Far East for the profit and power of international high finance. Meanwhile, the racial survival of the white world is at stake, as Britain and other white nations are being flooded with millions of fast-breeding 'third world' migrants. These developments threaten us far more seriously than any Soviet arms build-up.

While this is happening, 'summit' conferences and the phony 'arms race' are mere diversions.

The myth of the US-Soviet 'arms race' does, however, serve a definite purpose. It creates a worldwide state of 'war neurosis', which can lead to an attitude of 'peace at any price'. In that climate, the nations of the world, including our own, may be persuaded to make further surrenders of freedom and sovereignty to international 'authorities'. Part of this process is the stationing of American forces in Western Europe. The forces are not in fact here to protect us against the Soviets; they are here as forces of **OCCUPATION**, designed to keep the Western European nations 'in order', just as Soviet forces are present in Eastern Europe to keep the nations there 'in order' likewise.

That is the reality of the present international scenario, and that is what underlies the series of 'summit' conferences regularly being stage-managed to keep the masses of all countries amused and bemused. We should not take them seriously — because the real history of our times is being made elsewhere.



MUTUAL ADMIRATION SOCIETY
No wonder Gorbie and Ronnie are friends: they have nothing to quarrel about!

Government appoints another 'wet'

JUST ANOTHER EXAMPLE of the essential falseness of the claim of the Thatcher government to be strong on 'law and order' was provided in the recent appointment of Lord Mackay of Clashfern to the post of Lord Chancellor, vacated with the retirement of Lord Havers.


Lord Mackay is practically unknown to the nation, but some idea of his attitude of mind on questions of the law was provided in a statement he made on Britain's prisons. Said the Lord

Chancellor:-

"If you are humane and compassionate at heart — and judges should be — it is an awesome responsibility to send someone to prison knowing the conditions they will face when they arrive at the prison gate."

Because of his horror at present prison conditions, Lord Mackay said that he favoured far fewer custodial sentences and preferred alternative forms of punishment.

Well, our own editor, interestingly enough, is not without some qualification when it comes to commenting on prison conditions, since he was incarcerated, along with BNP leader and *British Nationalist* colleague John Tyndall, for 4 months in 1986 as a result of publishing words in this paper displeasing to Britain's 'ethnic minorities'. The opinion of both Mr. Morse



LORD MACKAY
Thatcher's new Lord Chancellor

and Mr. Tyndall is that prison conditions in this country are **far too soft** and

PONDERABLE QUOTE

What more is needed to give an illegitimate money power the security it needs? Quite a lot, as we shall see.

Organ transplant surgeons encounter a problem called 'rejection' — which means that the living organism is programmed by nature to reject anything that does not truly belong; and the surgeon's answer to 'rejection' is immuno-suppressive drugs, which have the effect of **reducing** the organism's vitality.

Likewise, before a population can be made to tolerate a system, or an ordering of its existence which it is instinctively programmed to reject, means must be found to reduce it to a condition of attenuated vitality. Winston Churchill showed that he understood this when, in an article on the Bolshevik Revolution written in 1922, he stated that there could be no world government as envisaged by the communists

except "on a basis of arrested development."

So, what has been done in our century to **reduce** mankind, especially Western Man, to a condition of "arrested development"?

Answer: Culture distortion — culturally subversive, hypnotic like the drug-linked 'rock', disco and all the other similar types of so-called 'pop' or popular music; pornography, theatre and cinema ingeniously laced with debilitating ethical poison; the boosting and encouragement of decadence in every imaginable form.

In short, the almost complete replacement of a people's own indigenous cultural heritage with forms of entertainment calculated to plant confusion and paralyse the will at source.

Cultural subversion is massively encouraged by the media of the money establishment, the most degraded, drug-sodden 'artists' being held up as models to be admired and emulated by the young.

Ivor Benson
THIS AGE OF CONFLICT

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — You seem to have erred slightly on the side of understatement when you say that police chief Ganley of Harlesden reported that 90 per cent of robberies on the Stonebridge Estate are committed by black youths on Whites and Asians. The reports in both the *Daily Express* and *Daily Telegraph* of 6th November 1987 claimed that **99 per cent** of the muggers were black and **99 per cent** of their victims were white or Asian. The *Daily Telegraph* also reported that a black youth, asked how he felt about this police claim, said: "If you are black, you don't mug a black man," thus confirming the racial motive in much of the mugging. It is important to note that Scotland Yard confirmed Mr. Ganley's figures.

It is correct that the race lobby has been going flat out to kid the public that 'racial attacks' are at a frighteningly high level in Britain and that they are committed almost entirely by Whites. Labour Euro-MP Glyn Ford was recently reported as stating at a meeting that a 'racial attack' occurs, on average, every 26 minutes in this country (over 20,000 a year), obviously intending to convey the impression that the vast majority of these are committed by Whites. At least 4 people I know have written to Ford to ask him what precise information he has as to which racial groups commit the attacks and which groups are the victims, but in no case has he even bothered to answer.

R.D. MOLESWORTH
Cheltenham, Glos.

Sir, — Up to now I have maintained membership of both the BNP and the National Front. However, I have now severed my last connections with the NF.

It was in the original NF that I became involved for the first time in serious national politics. However, the current NF is so batty-brained it's just unbelievable. Today its policies are so out of touch with reality it is truly disturbing.

I do, however, have one criticism of the BNP and this is that at times it gets a bit 'homo-phobic' in its attitude, and as a result of this a valuable message is sometimes lost. However, I do share the overall sentiments of the party concerning the corruption of our society and system and the way in which the minds of children are being polluted.

DAVID AUSTEN
London E.13

OVERSEAS AID: A FARCE AND A RACKET

(Contd. from front page)

the world does. No-one with an ounce of human feeling could rejoice in the picture.

But at the same time we are **realists**. We know that it is utterly impractical and utterly wrong for the White Man to continue to take responsibility for the feeding of people who will do nothing to feed themselves, and whose own government is much more interested in pursuing its political aims than in keeping its people adequately cared for.

We are supposed to be in the era of 'decolonisation', in which the European peoples have relinquished their control of Africa and other parts of the world where formerly they ruled, and we are constantly told that these former colonial lands are now 'sovereign states', with the right to control their own affairs. Very well, it is time they showed it by being responsible for dealing with their own problems and supporting themselves economically.

Britain's responsibility is to ensure that her own people do not go in need — a responsibility which at the moment she is totally failing to fulfil. Neither our government nor any other organisations in Britain have the right, in this

situation, to be lavishing aid on other people around the world.

HELPING COMMUNISM

Since the White Man has abdicated from Africa, most of that vast continent has gone communist; the area has largely become a Soviet sphere of interest.

Ethiopia itself is an example. Its government is not only marxist-orientated; it is also thoroughly corrupt.

At the very time that millions of people in the country were starving, the President, Lt. Col. Mengistu two years ago spent £350,000 on building an outdoor swimming pool for himself in his presidential palace.

The President runs up a huge bill in running a 300,000-strong army — much larger than Britain's. This is mostly for the purpose of prosecuting a bloody civil war against the regime's opponents.

In addition to all this, Mengistu not long ago spent an estimated £5 million on junketing to celebrate the 10th anniversary of his revolution, a revolution that has brought his people much more misery than they ever had before it — and that was misery enough.

need to be made a great deal **tougher** if we are to provide a proper deterrent to would-be criminals.

The views of Mr. Morse and Mr. Tyndall are undoubtedly shared by many millions of British people, and it is an outrage that, in defiance of these

It will of course be claimed that the huge aid programme organised by Geldorf & Co. has been channelled directly to relief agencies, such as 'Save the Children' and 'Oxfam', and thence to the hungry millions.

But it is a matter of simple common sense that as long as we mugs in the Western World are providing this kind of aid for Mengistu's people he is saved from the problem of providing it himself and can instead channel the public money of his regime into building yet bigger and better equipped armies to crush his opponents in the country and keep his Soviet puppet state in power.

So, in effect, **our** money — all the huge dollops of charity provided at the command of Mr. Geldorf is being used to prop up communism in Africa!

And that is not all. Apparently Mrs. Thatcher's government approves of this policy, for why otherwise would it have put Geldorf on last year's honours list?

TIME FOR A CHANGE OF POLICY

It is surely time for a drastic change of policy in respect of this aid to the

millions, Mrs. Thatcher has now appointed this pathetic wet to be Britain's new law chief. Once again, the government — though it talks strong language on law and order — takes the very opposite course when it comes to action.

'Third World', of which the aid to Ethiopia is a prime example. The aid is farcical because it is not solving the problems of poverty in these countries, which problems are self-engendered and can only be alleviated when the peoples of those countries change themselves — if they ever do.

The aid programme is also a racket, because, as in Ethiopia, it has helped to keep in power corrupt regimes like that of Col. Mengistu which maintain communism and Soviet influence in Africa and elsewhere. Are we not supposed to stand on opposite sides to the Soviets in a global political conflict? Then why in heaven's name are we helping the Soviets to maintain their satellite regimes? Just what are they playing at, these politicians, leaders of the church and 'liberal' do-gooders of every stripe, who are always wailing at us that we must give more money to the hungry overseas?

Let us end the farce and the racket. Let us come down from out of the clouds and place our feet on the ground. Let us recognise that it is HERE IN BRITAIN that our generosity and our charity are needed. Let us look after our own. And let us leave these foreign regimes, who are no friends of ours, to collapse — as they surely will when our subsidies to them are withdrawn!

BOOKS FOR YOUR LIBRARY

Here are just a few of the books available from the BNP Book Service. To ascertain postage costs on each consignment, please calculate one-eighth of the total value of the order. Send cash with orders or write for full list to: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

THE USES OF RELIGION (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £1.00. Examines the value of religion as a socially cohesive force. 1982. 36pp.

RACE AND POLITICS (H.B. Isherwood) 30p. Shows that a sense of racial identity is an essential element of nationhood. 1974. 36pp.

RELIGION AND THE RACIAL CONTROVERSY (H.B. Isherwood) 30p. Shows that racial integration is not ordained by Christianity and that the reverse is true. 1970. 16pp.

THE LEMMING FOLK (James Gibb Stuart) £7.95. A witty and factual examination of current attitudes and intellectual fashion that are threatening our future. 1980. 246pp.

THE GRAND DESIGN (Douglas Reed) £2.00. A behind-the-scenes look at modern world history. 1977. 45pp.

THE DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY (Wilmot Robertson) £7.50. This book has attracted worldwide attention, dealing as it does with racial problems in America — and not just simply the black-white situation. 2nd edition 1981. 613pp.

RACE AND REASON (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A plain, unemotional account of the race issue that has been an invaluable contribution to the debate ever since it was first published. 1961 (rep. 1977). 125pp.

RACE AND REALITY (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A companion volume and supplement to *Race and Reason*, showing how the truth about race has been suppressed by interested parties. 1967 (rep. 1977). 192pp.

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 11th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; Side 2: Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the nationalist unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others; Side 2: Talk by John Tyndall on 'The coming British Revolution'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage (about the worldwide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); Side 2: Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); Side 2: Talk on 'The tragedy of the 20th century' (analysis of the results of the 2nd World War).

TYNDALL SPEAKS III

Side 1: Talk on 'Why we must repatriate' (theme self-explanatory); Side 2: Talk on 'Foundations of the national community' (analysis of rights and duties in a racial-nationalist state).

TYNDALL SPEAKS IV

Side 1: Talk on 'The way to full employment'; Side 2: Talk on 'The racial time-bomb' (demolition of the case for multi-racial Britain).

These 5 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 24p postage) from: BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of the nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Yearly subscription £8.00. 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers order ONLY in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 37p post
25 copies £2.70 + £1.00 post
50 copies £4.80 + £2.00 post
100 copies £8.40 + £2.45 post
150 copies £12.00 + £2.65 post
200 copies £15.00 + £2.90 post
300 copies £21.00 + £3.10 post
400 copies £26.40 + £3.40 post
500 copies £32.00 Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00 by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to British Nationalist, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning British Nationalist totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

New BNP group in Cleveland

THE LATEST AREA in which the British National Party has established an active unit is **Cleveland**. The unit has recently been formed as a result of the initiative of local members with the help of activists from the Tyneside and Wearside area to the North.

The unit will be centred in Middlesbrough but it also incorporates active members from nearby Darlington. This area of Tees-side and South Durham is one of high unemployment and much political unrest. In addition, Tees-side has a fairly sizeable coloured immigrant population, whose presence has caused much resentment in an era of few jobs.

It is expected that the BNP will in time grow strong in the area and already its activities there have attracted much local interest and, predictably, a great deal of hostility from left-wingers in the vicinity. Last month a report of the emergence of the group appeared in

Middlesbrough's *Evening Gazette*, headed "Fury over 'evil' right." Despite the headline, all that was established in the report was that a BNP leaflet had upset a local publican.

HIGH WYCOMBE RACE RIOT

(Contd. from page 2)

ments of riot control and authorised to use them as necessary could have coped with the situation without having to wait for large reinforcements.

What happened at High Wycombe was one more example of an abdication from law-enforcement, decided upon out of the desire to appease immigrant groups. Most certainly, this policy is one decreed at top political level, and so the ordinary officers involved at High Wycombe should not be lumbered with too much of the blame — they were simply acting under orders. And the orders were to do nothing in the hope that the community relations officer would 'persuade' his kinsmen to disperse voluntarily. Only when it was clear

that this was not going to happen and when pressure had built up from white residents whereby action could no longer be delayed, did the police move in as they should have done a long time previously.

In the meantime, it was only by a miracle that no-one was killed. In fact the landlord's tiny baby granddaughter Narelle, who was with her mother in a room above the pub, was narrowly missed by a large, nail-studded piece of wood as it came through the window.

The feeble way in which the police were ordered to respond to the High Wycombe riot was a disgrace. And the disgrace, ultimately, is that of the government, which clearly lays down what policing policy is to be on such occasions.

How many innocent people must be maimed or killed before the government — which preens itself on being a 'law-and-order' government — adopts a more resolute approach?

BUILDING FUND: £7,000 NEEDED!

AS FROM THE BEGINNING OF THIS YEAR, the acquisition of a national headquarters premises will be the most important aim pursued by the British National Party and will take priority over all other party projects. Party supporters have long been promised that a headquarters will eventually be obtained. Now the party is in a position to announce that the acquisition of the premises is a realistic target during the current year — possibly even in the first half of the year.

The party now has a sizeable sum of money put by for investment in this project — to be precise, a sum in the region of £10,000. That this money is in the party's keeping is almost entirely the result of the decision of the party leadership not to contest the 1987 general election.

At first it was considered that the party might go straight ahead and acquire a leasehold on a suitable property. Another option was to obtain a freehold on a property by means of a mortgage, in which case the party would immediately be in a position to put down the required deposit. In the end, however, it was decided to raise the money by means of a loan in other quarters. We have now completed our enquiries about the terms of such a loan and have obtained provisional agreement on the part of the lender on the necessary terms. What this does require, however, is that we have available a larger sum for the purpose of a deposit than was originally envisaged. We also have to make provision for certain other expenses connected with the upkeep of the property, such as a guarantee of our being able to pay the rates for the first year. Our calculations now are that the sum we will require to raise will be £17,000.

With £10,000 of this amount already in our possession, this means that we need to raise a further £7,000. We are now making an appeal to all party supporters to help us raise this sum with the minimum of delay.

We have already obtained a pledge from one party supporter, who has given generously in the past, of £750. This is an excellent start, and a fine example to others. Now is the time for everyone to dig as deeply as possible into their financial reserves in order to see that the ball is kept rolling at a similar pace. In other words, GIVE NOW!

The premises we are seeking is in the southern part of the Outer London area. It does not need to be large but must be secure and contain the facilities for some administrative work, part of the storage of our party chattels, literature sales, the interviewing of interested persons and, not least, a telephone the number of which can be made public and which will be regularly manned.

Our enquiries concerning freehold property prices within the geographical limits stated have enabled us to make a fairly accurate calculation of the financial requirements involved in this project, and the target figure of our Headquarters Building Fund has been assessed accordingly.

In each issue of our magazine and the BNP paper *British Nationalist* we will be giving up-to-date reports on the latest progress of the fund.

Contributions should be marked 'Headquarters Fund' and sent to: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

WANTED: MORAL COURAGE

(Contd. from page 2)

unwilling, for whatever reasons, to acknowledge them.

A more recent example of a good cause let down by a representative not up to the challenge was that of senior churchman Canon Gareth Bennett. Dr. Bennett wrote the anonymous foreword to the official Church of England 'Who's Who', in which he denounced the Archbishop of Canterbury for promoting the sort of 'liberal' ideas within England's established church which have turned it into a cross between a marxist agitprop organisation and a poofs' parlour.

When the liberal clergy in control of the church launched a witch-hunt to track down the

author of this foreword, Dr. Bennett failed to step forward and proclaim his responsibility, despite the fact that the overwhelming majority of Britons, looking for some sort of lead in such matters, would have endorsed what he said. At first he lied, denying his authorship, and then he committed suicide.

Mr. Honeyford and Dr. Bennett and their kind must be counted as obviously decent people. Equally obviously, Mr. Honeyford is not, and Dr. Bennett was not, of the type of people who are going to save Britain. Mr. Honeyford failed to grasp basic principles. Under pressure, Dr. Bennett would not stand by his own words. For decency to have any effect, it needs to be allied to both hardness and moral courage. When will we learn this simple truth?

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system that will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work — with the proviso that work is locally available.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support for the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to corrupt Third World regimes.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repealing of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. (Tick appropriate box)

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 25p ☐

I wish to become a full member and enclose £6 (Half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

I wish to become an associate member and enclose £3 (no half-rate) ☐

Name.....

Address.....